







Journal of Ancient History and Archaeology



















CONTENTS

STUDIES

ANCIENT HISTORY	WITH THE OTHER CYCLADIC ISLANDS, LEFKANDI AND THE ARGOLID
Vasileios SPANOS HISTORICAL REFERENCE POINTS OF ANCIENT LARISSA	Ramaz SHENGELIA, Levan GORDEZIANI, Nikoloz TUSHABRAMISHVILI, Nodar
Lucreţiu MIHAILESCU-BÎRLIBA THE MOBILITY VECTORS OF SOLDIERS RECRUITED FROM THE RURAL MILIEU IN MOESIA INFERIOR. I. THE INSCRIPTIONS	POPORADZE, Othar ZOURABICHVILI DISCOVERY OF UNKNOWN SCRIPT SIGNS IN GEORGIA: THE BASHPLEMI LAKE TABLET
FROM CLAUDIUS TO TITUS	Derviş Ozan Tozluca WHEEL-MADE TERRACOTTA AND HOLLOW BULL FIGURINES FROM THE KNIDOS TERRITORY
Raúl GONZÁLEZ-SALINERO JEWISH EXEMPTIONS FROM ROMAN MILITARY SERVICE: A REPLY	Dávid Petrut, Sorin COCIŞ DOMESTIC LIGHTING IN ROMAN NAPOCA. THE LAMPS DISCOVERED ON THE SITE AT V. DELEU STREET (CLUJ- NAPOCA, ROMANIA)
Noé CONEJO THE MATERIALIZATION OF IDENTITY: ARCHITECTURE AND CONSUMPTION IN THE LATE ROMAN VILLAS OF LUSITANIA45	Sever-Petru BOŢAN, Vitalie BÂRCĂ, Sorin COCIŞ NOTES ON SOME OF THE GLASS FINDS IN THE VILLA RUSTICA OF DEALUL LOMB – CLUJ-NAPOCA
ARCHAEOLOGY Harun OY	Vladyslav SHCHEPACHENKO ROMAN GLASSWARE FROM THE AREA OF THE CHERNIAKHIV/ SÂNTANA DE MUREŞ CULTURE: TYPOLOGY, CHRONOLOGY AND DISTRIBUTION
AN IMPORTANT SETTLEMENT RELATED TO YORTAN CULTURE IN CENTRAL WEST ANATOLIA: KIZILKABAAĞAÇ, EŞME, UŞAK .57	Deniz Berk TOKBUDAK A LAMP-BEARER (?) IN THE FORM OF DIONYSUS FROM
Gayane POGHOSYAN RITUAL SCENES IN THE ARTISTIC DECORATION OF THE URARTIAN BRONZE HELMETS	ANATOLIAN CIVILIZATIONS MUSEUM
Arturo SANCHEZ SANZ GRYPHOMACHIAS. AMAZONS AND GRYPES IN ANCIENT ART77	Nathaniel S. KATZ THE LEGIONARY COINS OF SEPTIMIUS SEVERUS
ARCHAEOLOGICAL MATERIAL	

ISSN 2360 266x ISSN-L 2360 266x

Stefanos SPANOS

THE MYCENAEAN POTTERY OF THE TEMPLE-TEMENOS AREA FROM KOUKOUNARIES (PAROS) AND THE CONNECTIONS

Design & layout: Francisc Baja



Editura Mega | www.edituramega.ro e-mail: mega@edituramega.ro

THE LEGIONARY COINS OF SEPTIMIUS SEVERUS

Nathaniel S. KATZ

The University of Arizona, Tucson, United States of America nathanielkatz@arizona.edu

> DOI: 10.14795/j.v11i3.1038 ISSN 2360 - 266X ISSN-L 2360 - 266X

Abstract: As Severus faced his opponents in the Year of the Five Emperors, his mint produced a series of legionary coins that named each of the legions fighting for him. This article shows how those coins not only celebrated Severus soldiers but framed Severus in relation to previous leaders. Previous scholarship has focused on how the coins allude to the most famous series of legionary coins, that of Mark Antony before Actium, but that was not the only connection the coins made. Though not included in the *Roman Imperial Coinage* descriptions, coins for several of Severus' legions also include Capricorns, which this article shows were the first of the many ways that Severus connected himself to Augustus. Similarly, Severus coins also linked him to Marcus Aurelius, the last emperor to use the iconography of a legionary eagle between standards before Severus himself.

Keywords: Severus, legions, Capricorn, Augustus, Year of the Five Emperors.

In June 193 CE, 1 Septimius Severus' soldiers had already marched to Rome to defeat one rival imperial claimant, Didius Julianus. Ahead of them was a war against another rival, Pescennius Niger. To the north in Britain, Clodius Albinus had gone from yet another of Severus rivals to his ally and heir, but before long he too would face Severus in the field.² To solidify his soldiers loyalty at this crucial moment, Severus gave them a donative.3 At the same time, the Rome mint issued for its new emperor a series of coins celebrating the legions that were fighting for Severus (Fig. 1). Considering the timing and the coin's military iconography, they were likely first minted to pay the donative. 4 The iconography of Severus legionary coins stretched back to the republic, most famously to Mark Antony's prodigious series of legionary denarii (Fig. 2),⁵ and it is to Antony that scholars often suggest Severus' coins allude. 6 A soldier inclined to look at the iconography on his coins might well have thought of Antony, whose legionary coins were so numerous that they constituted 20% of circulating silver under Vespasian and continued to circulate in large numbers into the third century, albeit by then often worn into illegibility. Antony's coins, though, were not the only ones Severus' were in conversation with. This article shows how Severus' legionary coins not only celebrated his military might and linked him to Antony but also framed him

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all dates are CE and all translations are my own.

² See BIRLEY 1988, 96–128, as well as PASEK 2013 and PASEK 2014.

³ DIO 46.46.7; HDN. 2.14.5; HA SEV. 5.2.

⁴ *RIC* IV, 1 Severus nos. 2–17. Minted for the donative: OMAN 1918, 86 and *RIC* IV, 1, 65. KUBITSCHEK 1914 suggests they were minted before Severus reached Rome, but the stylistic similarity to the Rome mint and sheer quantity of coins disprove this: *BMCRE* V, lxxvii.

⁵ RRC nos. 544/1-39.

⁶ BMCRE V, lxxxiii; RIC IV, 1, 65; ZIEGLER 1971, 1n3.

⁷ WOYTEK 2007, 511–18.

as another Augustus through the inclusion of Capricorns on select legions coins and as another Marcus Aurelius, the last emperor to use the iconography.





Fig. 1. Denarius of Septimius Severus, Rome, 193–4 (RIC IV, 1 Severus no. 14). Obverse: laureate head of Severus, IMP CAE L SEP SEV PERT AVG. Reverse: eagle between standards with Capricorns, LEG XIIII GEM M V TR P COS (American Numismatic Society, no. 1946.92.3).





Fig. 2. *Denarius* of Mark Antony, unknown mint, 32–1 BCE (RRC no. 544/19). Obverse: galley, ANT AVG III VIR R P C. Reverse: eagle between standards, LEG VI (American Numismatic Society, no. 1944.100.6320).

COINS AND LEGIONS

The reverses of Severus legionary coins showed an eagle (aquila) between two military standards (signa). The legend specified the honoured legion. Both the eagle between standards and the naming of particular legions recalled the legionary coins Antony minted before facing Octavian at Actium,⁸ though it will become apparent that Severus' iconography differed in a few key details.

Severus' coins named fifteen of his sixteen legions. That comprehensiveness suggests coins were minted for the absent legio X Gemina but have either not survived or been misidentified.⁹ Unlike Antony, Severus did not mint coins for the praetorians, since he had cashiered them as punishment for the murder of Pertinax earlier that year. 10 Also omitted were Nigers nine legions. Like other military series, these were to solidify the bond with ones own troops, not bids for the loyalty of anothers. An emperor could easily get coins (and the messages on them) into the hands of their own soldiers as pay but had no similarly reliable way to distribute them to an enemy force. Though not presently hostile, Albinus four legions were excluded as well. Imperial legionary coins identified the legions commander on their obverse, which would have posed a problem: Severus was doubtless disinclined to mint coins in Albinus name, but to put his own portrait on the obverse would cast doubt on Albinus control over his legions.¹¹ Minting only for his own legions

also drove home how many more legions supported Severus than Niger or Albinus.

Each of Severus' legions received coins, but that is not to say that each received only coins bearing its own name. Coins for Antony's various legions are found mixed together in hoards, suggesting his legions were paid with a mixture of coins naming different legions. 12 Severus did the same. The database Coin Hoards of the Roman Empire (CHRE) records 34 hoards that include one or more of Severus' legionary coins.13 No hoard with three or more legionary coins contains coins from only one legion. The Shapwick Villa hoard, consisting of 9,238 coins deposited after 224 CE, has the second largest concentration of Severan legionary coins with three coins for legio I Adiutrix, two for legio VII Claudia Pia Fidelis, and two for legio XXII Primigenia.14 The third-century Reka Devnia 1929 hoard of 101,096 coins is the largest concentration of Severan legionary coins.¹⁵ Table 1 shows the breakdown by legion.

Table 1. Severan Legionary Coins from Reka Devnia 1929 Hoard by Legion.

Legio	RIC IV, 1 Severus nos.	Quantity
I Adiutrix	2	1
I Italica	3	1
I Minervia Pia Fidelis	4	1
II Adiutrix Pia Fidelis	5	4
II Italica Pia Fidelis	6	4
III Italica	7	5
IIII Flavia	8	1
V Macedonica	9	4
VII Claudia Pia Fidelis	10	1
VIII Augusta	11, 357	4
XI Claudia	12	1
XIII Gemina	13	2
XIV Gemina Martia Victrix	14, 358, 397, 608A, 652	19
XXII Primigenia	15–16	2
XXX Ulpia Victrix	17	0

Like the other hoards, Reka Devnia 1929 includes a mixture of coins from different legions. The one seeming exception to this is *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* with its 19 coins. It is unlikely, however, that this comes from a soldier from that legion having been paid only in *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* coins. As we shall see, that legion received more different coin types in more metals and from more mints than any other Severan legion, making it unsurprising for its coins to outnumber the other legions in a random sample.

Indeed, though all received *denarii*, Severus legions were not treated equally. Only coins for *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* and *legio VIII Augusta* were minted again in 194 in

 $^{^{\}rm 8}\,$ On Antony's legionary coins, see DILLON 2007; ROWAN 2018, 109–16; WOYTEK 2007, 503–18.

⁹ Legio X Gemina included: BRACE 2003, 119; PASEK 2013, 144–5; VERMEEREN 1991, 80. Intentionally excluded: BMCRE V, lxxxii; CARLSON 1971, 51; DOMASZEWSKI 1885, 48–49n1; HASEBROEK 1921, 22–3; OMAN 1918, 85; RIC IV, I, 65; RITTERLING 1924–1925, 1310, 16876; SCHUMACHER 2003, 361; WITTWER 1986, 135. ZIEGLER 1971, 1–2 is agnostic.

¹⁰ DIO 75.1-2; HDN. 2.13; HA SEV. 6.11-7.1, 17.5.

 $^{^{11}}$ RIC IV, 1, 65; SCHUMACHER 2003, 361; VERMEEREN 1991, 80–1. The omission of legio III Augusta provides a terminus post quem for its desertion of Niger for Severus: PASEK 2013, 144.

¹² ROWAN 2018, 110–1.

¹³ The hoards are Bäretswil Zh 1993, Caister by Yarmouth, Carnuntum, Courcelles-sur-Nied 2005, Eastern Turkey, Ercsi, Erythrai, Falkirk, Flonheim, Frânceşti, Gębice, Gösseling, Kecel 1934, Kirchmatting, Köln Gertrudenstraße 1909, Linsberg, Malyech, Mastacăn, Mazepintsy, Mehovine (no 2000), Mirceşti, Munteneşti, Oberebach, Præstemosen, Reka Devnia 1929, Resko, Russe 1916, Shapwick Villa, Solin, Stara Romanovka, Supska, Tell Kalak, Turiya, Zbuzh.

¹⁴ For the hoard, see ABDY/MINNITT 2002.

 $^{^{\}rm 15}\,$ For the hoard, see MOUCHMOV 1934.

an Eastern mint (sometimes identified as Emesa), ¹⁶ and Alexandria only minted coins for *legio III Italica*. ¹⁷ Only *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* received *sestertii*. ¹⁸ That legion's various honours and station at Carnuntum, where soldiers first acclaimed Severus emperor, suggest the fourteenth was the legion that first acclaimed him. ¹⁹ *Aurei*, likely made for officers, were another matter. ²⁰ Though once known only for *legio I Minervia*, *legio VIII Augusta*, and *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix*, specimens have now been found for *legio IV Flavia* and *legio XXII Primigenia*, suggesting *aurei* were made for all legions but have largely been lost due to the small number made for each legion. ²¹

More varied still were the standards on the coins. Unlike Antony's, not all of Severu's legionary coins depicted the same standards. One might think each coin depicts the standard of the named legion, but closer examination reveals this was at best a nod towards a particular standard rather than an accurate depiction of it. Though some scholars have tried to match the standards depicted on these or other coins to a legion' actual standard, 22 there is reason to believe standards on coins were in fact standardized. As K. M. Töpfer points out, each legion had over sixty distinct standards within it, as indeed was necessary if soldiers were to follow the standard into battle and the legion wished to execute manoeuvres more complex than moving in one large group. Nor were a legion' standards unchanging. Each new decoration a unit won went on its standard, making any hypothetical depiction of a standard on a coin only a brief match.²³

Comparing standards on coins with standards on other media also suggests standards on coins were simplified. To be sure, standards like those seen on Antonys legionary coins do appear in relief sculpture (e.g., Fig. 3). Either some such standards did exist or those sculptures were also simplifying. Either way, most sculptural standards were more complex. For instance, the gravestone of a standard-bearer (signifer) of the very fourteenth legion whose coins Severus minted so prolifically shows a standard with far more decorations than appear on any coin (Fig. 4).²⁴ Considering that

standard's numerous decorations and a coin's small size, it makes sense that the engravers simplified the design.



Fig. 3. Monument of an *aquilifer* (Museo Archeologico al Teatro romano di Verona, no 22537; photo courtesy of Dr Margherita Bolla).



Fig. 4. Tombstone of C. Valerius Secundus, *signifer* of *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* (Landesmuseums Mainz, no. 611; photo courtesy of Heiko Fischer).

Severus' mint did try harder than any previous minters of legionary coins to capture the variation of standards between units. Since Romans thought of the designs on coins as coming from the emperor,²⁵ the idea may have been to increase the honour the type paid to each legion by depicting its own standard, decorated with that legion's own hardwon honours, and so make that legion feel seen by their emperor, much as Roman military thinking emphasized the motivating power of the commander's gaze in combat. When describing one of his battles against the Gauls, for example, Caesar writes, 'the remainder of the struggle was a matter of courage, in which our soldiers were easily superior, and all the more so since the fight was waged in view of Caesar and the whole army, so that nothing done a little more bravely could escape notice.²⁶ Emperors sought to use their gaze

 $^{^{16}\,}$ Scholars agree that *RIC* IV, 1 357–8 and 397 were minted in the east, but that they were minted at Emesa is just a guess of Mattingly (*BMCRE* V cxviii; *RIC* IV, 1 57–8). See BICKFORD-SMITH 1994, 57–67, as well as PINK 1933, 49; VERMEEREN 1991, 82; WITTWER 1986, 133–4. VERMEEREN 1991, 88–90 suggests detachments from those two legions were already in Syria. $^{17}\,$ *RIC* IV, 1 Severus no. 608A, which shows Julia Domna on the obverse. BICKFORD-SMITH 1994, 55–6 also notes legionary coins minted at Alexandria with Severus on their obverse.

¹⁸ *RIC* IV, 1 Severus no. 652.

HA SEV. 5.1, preferable to EPIT. DE CAES. 19.2. See BIRLEY 1988, 97;
 DOMASZEWSKI 1885, 48–49n1; FRANKE 2000, 201; HASEBROEK 1921,
 17; RIC IV, 1, 65; RITTERLING 1924–1925, 1741–2; PASEK 2013, 145–6;
 VERMEEREN 1991, 88; ZIEGLER 1971, 1–2.

 $^{^{20}}$ For officers: BMCRE V, lxxxii; BRACE 2003, 120; PASEK 2013, 146; RIC IV, 1, 65; VERMEEREN 1991, 87.

²¹ Legio XXII Primigenia: CNG Electronic Auction 102 lot 1030. Legio IV Flavia: VERMEEREN 1991, 87. BRACE 2003, 120 and RIC IV, 1, 65 also suggest aurei for the missing legions were minted. Each additional legion for which aurei are found makes it likelier that they were made in small quantities for the others rather than that the aurei were another honour reserved for Severus' favourite legions, as has been suggested by RITTERLING 1924–1925, 1428; VERMEEREN 1991, 87–8; WITTWER 1986, 132–3.

²² KRAAY 1949, 135-6; ROSSI 1965.

 $^{^{\}rm 23}$ TÖPFER 2011, 204. For the meaning of the different decorations, see MAXFIELD 1981.

²⁴ Cf. the similarly complex standards shown on Trajan's Column scene

XXIV. For further comparanda, see the exhaustive catalog of standards in TÖPFER 2011, 265–460. D'AMATO 2018 and D'AMATO 2020 are also richly illustrated, though with a mixture of ancient depictions of standards and more hypothetical modern reconstructions.

 $^{^{25}}$ SUET. AUG. 94.12, NERO 25.12. See ANDO 2000, 215–6 for further examples. This does not of course mean that emperors actually did select all their coin designs, but here what matters is the perception that they did. For the debate as to who did select the iconography on coins, see ELKINS 2018–2019, with ample earlier bibliography.

²⁶ CAES. BG 3.14: Reliquum erat certamen positum in virtute, qua nostri milites facile superabant, atque eo magis quod in conspectu Caesaris atque omnis exercitus res gerebatur, ut nullum paulo fortius factum latere posset, cf. for similar sentiments 2.25 and 6.8.

in the same way, such as when both Tiberius and Severus observed the course of sieges from elevated platforms that had the fortuitous side-effect of allowing the observing emperor to himself by observed by the fighting soldiers.²⁷ Unfortunately, when it came to making each legion feel seen by letting them see their own standard on the coin marked with their legion's name, the ambition of Severus' mint exceeded its ability. T. Vermeeren documents not only instances of different units receiving the same standard but inconsistencies among the standards of a particular unit, inconsistencies that increase in direct proportion to the number of specimens considered.²⁸ Nor are standards all that varies.

CAPRICORNS AND THE MEMORY OF AUGUSTUS

Though not included in the *Roman Imperial Coinage* descriptions, Capricorns are visible jutting out from the sides of the standards on some of Severus' legionary coins (Fig. 1). Domaszewski noticed them on coins for *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix*, and some scholars follow him in seeing it as an honour for Severus favourite legion.²⁹ Töpfer, however, also records Capricorns on *legio IV Flavias* coins, S. Pasek on those of all legions save *V Macedonica* and *VIII Augusta*.³⁰

To get a better grasp on the prevalence of these Capricorns, the following tables show the percentage of coins with Capricorns out of the total number of Severan legionary coins collected on two websites. The first is Online Coins of the Roman Empire (OCRE), a database combining the numismatic collections of the American Numismatic Society, the British Museum, and other major museums. The second is the online auction site Classical Numismatic Group (CNG).31 Those sites do not, of course, contain every Severan legionary coin ever found, but together they have 198 specimens, enough to get a sense of the general proportion of Capricorns. While both museums and auction sites might be biased toward well preserved specimens, neither appears to have been biased toward or against those with Capricorns. Though 80 of the 198 coins depict Capricorns, that abnormality is only noted in 14 of the descriptions, and two of the descriptions that do note the oddity describe it as an antelope rather than a Capricorn.³² When a specimen was unclear or ambiguous, I have, for the sake of consistency, counted it

as without a Capricorn, so the following count if anything undercounts Capricorns.

Table 2. Capricorns on OCRE and CNG Coins from Rome.

RIC IV, 1 Severus no.	Legio	Capricorns/Total Coins
2	I Adiutrix	0/1433
3	I Italica	0/5 ³⁴
4	I Minervia Pia Fidelis	0/235
5	II Adiutrix Pia Fidelis	0/836
6	II Italica Pia Fidelis	1/7³7
7	III Italica	9/1038
8	IIII Flavia	1/8 ³⁹
9	V Macedonica	2/1040
10	VII Claudia Pia Fidelis	0/741
11	VIII Augusta	0/942
12	XI Claudia	4/1643
13	XIII Gemina	4/1244

 $^{^{\}rm 33}$ OCRE: Digital Coin Cabinet of Mainz University, no. ID 387; Münzkabinett Wien, nos. RÖ 14116, RÖ 42673; British Museum, nos. R1946,1004.766, R.15139; Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, no. ID1502. CNG: EA 61 Lot 1879, EA 63 Lot 1395, EA 70 Lot 62, EA 115 lot 418, EA 115 Lot 419, EA 533 lot 557, EA Triton VI Lot 910, Coin Shop 519297.

 $^{^{27}}$ DIO 56.13.4, 76.11.4. For further examples and analysis, see EATON 2020, 123–4; GOLDSWORTHY 1996, 162–3; LEVITHAN 2013, 36; MACMULLEN 1984, 451.

²⁸ VERMEEREN 1991, 82-87, as well as TÖPFER 2011, 204.

²⁹ DOMASZEWSKI 1885, 49, followed by *BMCRE* V, lxxxii; SÁNCHEZ 2010, 135; VERMEEREN 1991, 85, 92–3; ZIEGLER 1971, 3.

³⁰ PASEK 2013, 143; TÖPFER 2011, 208.

³¹ CNG coins are identified by electronic auction (EA) and lot numbers. Excluded from the following count are EA 115 lot 430 (identified as a 'barbarous imitation') and EA 387 lot 475 (a legionary issue but one where the surviving legend does not identify the legion). Neither of those have Capricorns. Also excluded are legionary coins sold as part of larger groups of coins if the picture of the larger group did not include a clear enough image of the legionary coin to ascertain the presence or absence of a Capricorn.

Descriptions noting the Capricorns from OCRE: British Museum, nos. R1946,1004.768, 1992,0509.5, 1933.0401.1, R.15652; Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, nos. ID1509, ID1510. From CNG: EA 70 lot 74 (misidentified as an antelope), EA 102 lot 1030, EA 192 lot 263 (another antelope misidentification), EA 561 lot 673, EA Triton XIII lot 1461, EA Triton XIV lot 748, EA Triton XIX lot 592, EA Triton XX lot 784.

³⁴ OCRE: Münzkabinett Wien, RÖ 42675. CNG: EA 63 Lot 1396 (= 37 Lot 1632), 63 Lot 1397, EA 419 Lot 381, EA 560 Lot 720 (= EA 86 Lot 289).

 $^{^{35}\,}$ OCRE: Münzsammlung des Seminars für Alte Geschichte der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, no. ID3179. CNG: EA 61 Lot 1881.

 $^{^{36}}$ OCRE: Münzkabinett Wien, nos. RÖ 14117, RÖ 14118 RÖ 42674. British Museum, no. 1927,0303.2. Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, no. ID1503. CNG: EA 134 Lot 357, EA 548 Lot 534, EA 560 Lot 721 (= EA 61 Lot 1882 = 54 Lot 1671).

³⁷ OCRE without Capricorns: Münzkabinett Wien, nos. RÖ 14119, 42676; British Museum, no. 1938,0207.48. CNG Capricorns: EA 70 lot 64. CNG without Capricorns: EA 63 Lot 1398, EA 252 Lot 472, EA 560 Lot 722 (= EA 62 Lot 1883).

³⁸ OCRE Capricorns: Münzkabinett Wien, RÖ 42677; British Museum, nos. 1997,1203.105, 1986,1214.1, R.15140; Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, no. ID1505; Münzsammlung des Seminars für Alte Geschichte der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, no. ID3181. CNG Capricorns: EA 454 Lot 376, EA 509 Lot 715, EA 560 Lot 723 (= 61 Lot 1884). CNG without Capricorns: EA 134 lot 358.

³⁹ OCRE Capricorns: British Museum, no. R1946,1004.768. OCRE without Capricorns: American Numismatic Society, no. 1955.21.15; Münzkabinett Wien, RÖ 14121; British Museum, no. 1992,0509.4; Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, no. ID1506. The OCRE count does not include British Museum, no. 1946,1004.769, of which no photo was available. CNG without Capricorns: EA 60 Lot 66, EA 112 Lot 637, EA 561 Lot 663 (= EA 61 Lot 1885 = EA 54 Lot 1674).

⁴⁰ OCRE Capricorns: British Museum, no. 1992,0509.5. OCRE without Capricorns: Münzkabinett Wien, nos. RÖ 14122, 42678; British Museum, no. 1927,0303.21. CNG Capricorns: EA 101 lot 145. CNG without Capricorns: EA 61 Lot 1886, EA 85 Lot 940, EA 115 Lot 421, EA Triton VI Lot 911.

 $^{^{41}}$ OCRE: American Numismatic Society, no. 1986.161.91; The Digital Coin Cabinet of Mainz University, no. ID389; Münzkabinett Wien, no. RÖ 14123; British Museum, no. 1864,0716.8. CNG: EA 61 Lot 1887, EA 561 Lot 664, EA 562 Lot 581.

 $^{^{42}\,}$ OCRE: Münzkabinett Wien, RÖ 42679. CNG: EA 61 Lot 63, EA 61 Lot 1888, EA 70 Lot 67, EA 113 lot 281, EA 148 Lot 343 (= EA 39 lot 1515), EA 561 Lot 665, EA Triton VI Lot 912, Coin Shop 737414.

⁴³ OCRE Capricorns: Münzkabinett Wien, nos. RÖ 14125, 14126. OCRE without Capricorns: American Numismatic Society, nos. 1948.19.1428, 1986.161.92; Münzkabinett Wien RÖ 14127. The OCRE count excludes British Museum no. 1864,0716.7, of which no photo was available. CNG Capricorns: EA 70 lot 70, EA 90 lot 1603. CNG without Capricorns: EA 61 Lot 1889, EA 64 Lot 1129, EA 70 lot 68, EA 96 lot 156, EA 134 lot 359, EA 134 lot 360, EA 336 Lot 382, EA 561 Lot 666, Coin Shop 802225.

⁴⁴ OCRE Capricorns: Münzkabinett Wien, nos. RÖ 14128, RÖ 42683.
OCRE without Capricorns: Münzkabinett der Universität Göttingen, ID

RIC IV, 1 Severus no.	Legio	Capricorns/Total Coins
14, 652	XIV Gemina Martia Victrix	45/55 ⁴⁵
15–16	XXII Primigenia	2/15 ⁴⁶
17	XXX Ulpia Victrix	0/947

Table 3. Capricorns on OCRE and CNG Coins from Other Mints.

RIC IV, 1 Severus	Legio	Capricorns/Total
no.		Coins
357 (eastern mint) ⁴⁸	VIII Augusta	0/249
358, 397 (eastern	XIV Gemina Martia	2/9 ⁵⁰
mint)	Victrix	
608A (Alexandria)	III Italica	0/0
N/A ⁵¹ (Alexandria)	III Italica	9/9 ⁵²

The chart proves coins for *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix*

186530; Münzkabinett Wien, RÖ 42680; British Museum, no. R.15141. The OCRE count excludes American Numismatic Society, no. 2000.17.292, which is described as a 'modern die for replicas.' CNG Capricorns: EA 134 lot 361, EA 560 lot 724 (= EA 70 lot 73). CNG without Capricorns: EA 63 Lot 1399, EA 90 Lot 1604, EA 537 Lot 465, EA 561 Lot 667 (= 61 Lot 1890), EA Triton VI Lot 913.

⁴⁵ OCRE Capricorns: American Numismatic Society, nos. 1987.59.2, 1944.100.50121, 1946.92.3, 1944.100.50121, 1946.92.3, 1956.127.1251, 1954.18.172, 1944.100.50284, 1944.100.50285; Münzkabinett Wien, no. RÖ 14129, RÖ 14131, RÖ 14133, RÖ 14506, RÖ 14507, RÖ 42681, RÖ 42682; Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, nos. ID1509, ID1510; British Museum, nos. 1933.0401.1, R.15652. OCRE without Capricorns: American Numismatic Society, nos. 1956.127.1252, 1995.11.1487; The Digital Coin Cabinet of Mainz University, no. ID388; Thuringian Museum for Pre- and Early History, no. 122676; Münzsammlung des Seminars für Alte Geschichte der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, nos. ID3183, ID4074; Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, no. 88.450. CNG Capricorns: EA 61 Lot 1891, EA 70 lot 74, EA 85 Lot 941, EA 90 Lot 1605, EA $115\ {\rm Lot}\ 423,\ {\rm EA}\ 148\ {\rm Lot}\ 344,\ {\rm EA}\ 163\ {\rm Lot}\ 316,\ {\rm EA}\ 192\ {\rm Lot}\ 263,\ {\rm EA}\ 352\ {\rm Lot}$ 445, EA 366 Lot 845 (= EA 22 Lot 402), EA 372 Lot 466, EA 376 Lot 446, EA 379 Lot 669, EA 385 Lot 558, EA 447 Lot 476, EA 500 Lot 791, EA 514 Lot 518, EA 548 Lot 535, EA 560 Lot 725, EA 561 Lot 668, EA Triton VI Lot 914, EA Triton VI Lot 915 (= EA 54 Lot 1682), EA Triton XIII Lot 1461, EA Triton XIV Lot 748, EA Triton XIX Lot 592. CNG without Capricorns: EA 169 lot 269, EA 410 lot 349, EA 513 lot 414.

⁴⁶ OCRE without Capricorns: Münzkabinett Wien, nos. RÖ 14134, 42684; British Museum, no. 1864,0716.5, 1946,1004.773; Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, no. ID1511. The OCRE count excludes Harvard no. 2008.115.284, actually a sestertius of Balbinus. CNG Capricorns: EA 102 lot 1030, EA Triton XX lot 784. CNG without Capricorns: EA 61 Lot 1893, EA 61 lot 1894, EA 63 Lot 1400 (= 54 Lot 1686), EA 70 lot 75, EA 372 Lot 468, EA 561 Lot 669, EA 561 lot 670 (= EA 111 lot 6849), Coin Shop 802224.

⁴⁷ OCRE: American Numismatic Society, 1978.213.1; Münzkabinett Wien, no. RÖ 95264; British Museum, no. 1938.0207.36; Münzkabinett der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, nos. ID1512, ID 1513; Münzsammlung des Seminars für Alte Geschichte der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, no. ID3184. The OCRE count excludes British Museum no. 1946,1004.771, of which a photo of the reverse was unavailable. CNG: EA 115 lot 424, EA 282 lot 318, EA 563 lot 899 (= EA MBS 61 lot 1895).

- 48 See note 13
- ⁴⁹ OCRE: British Museum, nos. 1927,0619.9, 1997,1203.160.
- OCRE with Capricorns: British Museum, no. 1864,1128.93; Münzkabinett Berlin, no. 18277829. OCRE without Capricorns: The Digital Coin Cabinet of Mainz University, no. ID390; Münzsammlung des Seminars für Alte Geschichte der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, no. ID4020. CNG without Capricorns: EA 61 Lot 1914, EA 475 lot 75, EA 475 Lot 475, EA 563 Lot 908, EA 563 Lot 909.
- ⁵¹ See note 14.
- $^{52}\,$ CNG Capricorns: EA 61 lot 1907, EA 61 lot 1908, EA 148 lot 348, EA 475 lot 138, EA 496 lot 496, EA 560 lot 475, EA 560 lot 746, EA 561 lot 673, EA 563 lot 911.

did include Capricorns. Capricorns appear on 47/64 coins of that legion, on all three metals, and from multiple mints. Were one legion to be singled out for Capricorns, *legio XIV* makes sense even besides Severus favouritism. Though perhaps founded by Caesar, it appears to have been refounded after Actium by Augustus by combining a legion of his with a legion of Antonys (hence *Gemina* or Twin).⁵³ It therefore made Augustus Capricorn its emblem.⁵⁴ The second thing the chart shows is again the inconsistency of Severus legionary coinage. The chart show Capricorns for 8/15 legions, a total that rises to 14/15 when cross-referenced with Pasek, but Capricorns are only a majority on coins for two (*legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* and *legio III Italica*), and some *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* coins have no Capricorns (e.g., Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. *Denarius* of Septimius Severus, Rome, 193–4 (RIC IV, I Severus no. 14). Obverse: laureate head of Severus, IMP CAE L SEP SEV PERT AVG. Reverse: eagle between standards without Capricorns, [LEG XIIII GE]MMV TR P COS (American Numismatic Society, no. 1956.127.1251).

But need we conclude Capricorns for legions besides *legio XIV* were erroneous? That would make 33/80 of the observed Capricorns errors. Nor are, despite the inconsistencies, all the Capricorns random. It is *legio III Italica*, not *legio XIV*, that most consistently has Capricorns, which adorn all but one of its 19 coins (Fig. 6). *Legio III Italica* was, along with *legio XIV*, also one of the three legions honoured with coins at a mint besides Rome. This suggests that, even allowing for the evident messiness of the minters execution, the mints intention was to honour at least one legion besides *legio XIV* with Capricorns.



Fig. 6. *Denarius* of Septimius Severus, Rome, 193–4 (RIC IV, I Severus no. 7). Obverse: laureate head of Severus, IMP CAES L SEP SEV PERT AVG. Reverse: eagle between standards with Capricorns, LEG III ITAL TR P COS (Münzkabinett Wien, no. RÖ 42677).

Indeed, Capricorns were not just the emblem of *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* but a symbol of Augustus. Augustus soon had so much faith in his destiny, Suetonius writes, that he publicized his horoscope and issued a silver coin with the sign of the constellation Capricorn, under which he was

⁵³ DIO 54[55].23.7. See KEPPIE 1994, 117, 182, as well as BIRLEY 1928; FRANKE 2000, 191; RITTERLING 1924–1925, 1727–46.

⁵⁴ For Capricorns as an Augustan legionary emblem, see PARKER 1971, 262 and RITTERLING 1924–1925, 1727. *RIC* V, 1 Gallienus no. 361 uses a Capricorn for *legio XIV Gemina Martia Victrix* in a series representing legions by their emblems, on which see OKAMURA 1991.

born.⁵⁵ This seemingly straightforward passage has caused much confusion, since Augustus was born on the 23rd of September 63 BCE, which would suggest that he was actually born under Libra or Virgo rather than Capricorn.⁵⁶ What matters here, however, is not how Augustus calculated his star sign but that, for whatever reason, he associated himself with the constellation Capricorn and that the symbol graced numerous Augustan coins (Fig. 7).⁵⁷ Capricorns therefore became a way for later emperors to reference Augustus. The rebels of 68–69 minted anonymous coins showing on their reverse a Capricorn with the legend AVGVSTVS (Fig. 8), iconography reprised on the coins of Nerva and other later emperors.⁵⁸ Capricorn appeared in the same way on the coins of Severus opponent Niger (Fig. 9).





Fig. 7. Denarius of Augustus, 18–17 BCE (RIC I^2 Augustus no. 125). Obverse: head of Augustus. Reverse: Capricorn holding globe and rudder below a cornucopia, AVGVSTVS (American Numismatic Society, no. 1955.191.11).





Fig. 8. Anonymous *denarius*, unknown mint, 68–69 (RIC I² Civil Wars 82). Obverse: head of Augustus. Reverse: Capricorn holding globe with rudder below a cornucopia, AVGVSTVS (American Numismatic Society, 1966.110.6).





Fig. 9. *Denarius* of Pescennius Niger, 193–4 (RIC IV, 1 Pescennius Niger no. 44). Obverse: laureate head of Pescennius Niger, IMP CAES C PESCE N NIGER IVSTI AV. Reverse: two Capricorns over a small shield, supporting a shield (or globe) with seven stars, IVSTI AVG. (CNG EA 94 lot 1050).

The symbol appealed to Severus too. That is hardly surprising, considering Severus penchant for astrology. He made astrology part of his self-representation, depicting the position of the stars at his birth on the ceiling of the palace

and including astrological signs and other portents in his autobiography. 59 The Historia Augusta, likely drawing on stories Severus himself put into circulation, recounts not only an astrologers prediction of a young Severus future accession but also how he chose to marry Julia Domna because her horoscope predicted she would wed a king.⁶⁰ More broadly, Cooley has shown how ardently Severus strove to compare himself to Augustus, who had also marched on Rome to found a glorious dynasty.⁶¹ Severus, for example, praised Augustus alongside Sulla and Marius as examples of admirable severity. 62 To give just one more example, Severus in 204 celebrated the Ludi Saeculares, which Augustus had memorably held in 17 BCE. In using those games to connect himself to Augustus, Severus was following the examples of Claudius and Domitian, but—unlike Claudius and Domitian—his iteration of the festivities fit Augustus schedule of games every 110 years. 63 The Capricorns on Severus coins, however, predate the other links Severus drew to Augustus that Cooley explores. That the Capricorns are a reference to Augustus is certain if they were intentionally used for legions like III Italica without a Capricorn emblem,64 but even if one views as intentional only the fourteenths Capricorns, the question remains why Severus celebrated the legion in that particularly Augustan fashion.

Reading these coins as Augustanizing reveals not only an early stage in Severus self-representation but the reaction to it. As discussed at the beginning of this article, Severus soldiers probably received these coins as a donative not long after entering Rome in June 193. In response to their donative paid in legionary coins whose Capricorns alluded to Augustus, the soldiers demanded more. The Historia Augusta tells us, When he [Severus] was in the Senate, soldiers demanded from the senate at the point of mutiny ten thousand sestertii, by the example of those who had brought Augustus Octavian to Rome and received such a sum.65 In other words, they threw Severus self-representation of himself as another Augustus right back at him. Though they did not get the full ten thousand sestertii they asked for, they did get one thousand each. 66 The immediacy of that dialogue would be enhanced if J. B. Campbell is right that emperors personally distributed donatives whenever possible, 67 something Severus would have as much if not more reason to do in the middle of his civil war as any other emperor.

⁵⁵ SUET. AUG. 94.12: Tantam mox fiduciam fati Augustus habuit, ut thema suum vulgaverit nummumque argenteum nota sideris Capricorni, quo natus est, percusserit.

[,] 56 See WARDLE 2014, 532 and BARTON 1995.

⁵⁷ RIC I² Augustus nos. 124–30, 174–5, 477, 480, 488–9, 493, 521–2, 541–2, 544–8; WARDLE 2014, 532.

 $^{^{58}}$ Capricorn coins between Augustus and Severus: $RIC~I^2$ Civil Wars nos. 81–5, 97; $RIC~II,~1^2$ Vespasian 769, 781, 1058–60, Titus 4–5, 17–20, 36–38; $RIC~II~Nerva~no.~126;~RIC~II,~3^2~Hadrian~727.$ See BARTON 1995, 47; SHELAIH 2022, 13

 $^{^{\}rm 59}\,$ Ceiling: DIO 77.11. Astrology in autobiography: HDN. 2.9.4.

 $^{^{60}}$ HA SEV. 2.8–9, 3.9. See CRAMER 1954, 209–10 and POTTER 1994, 163–4.

⁶¹ COOLEY 2000.

⁶² DIO 76.8.1.

⁶³ COOLEY 2000, 391. RANTALA 2017, the most detailed look at Severus' games, concurs with Cooley's connection between Severus' and Augustus' games: RANTALA 2017, 22n82.

⁶⁴ RIC V, 1 Gallienus nos. 339–41 use either a stork or a bull for legio III Italica.

⁶⁵ HA SEV. 7.6: sed cum in senatu esset, milites per seditionem dena milia poposcerunt a senatu, exemplo eorum qui Augustum Octavianum Romam deduxerant tantumque acceperant, cf. DIO 46.46.7 and APP. BC 3.94. See BIRLEY 1988, 104; COOLEY 2007, 388–9; DOMASZEWSKI 1900, 232; VERMEEREN 1991, 75; WATSON 1969, 113.

⁶⁶ DIO 46.46.7.

⁶⁷ CAMPBELL 1984, 183-4.

THE MEMORIES OF MARCUS AURELIUS AND PERTINAX

Augustus was not the only connection Severus legionary coins forged. His obverse titulature included PERT(INAX), a feature of his early coins that framed him as Pertinaxs avenger. 68 It had a particular point on these military coins, since Herodian suggests the adoption of the name was largely motivated by the fact that all the soldiers in Illyricum remembered Pertinaxs command.⁶⁹ The coins also recalled Marcus Aurelius and Lucius Verus, the last emperors under whom legionary coins, or indeed any coins featuring standards, had been minted. Those emperors mint had restored Antonys denarius honouring legio VI (Fig. 10, cf. Antonys at Fig. 2). The new coin was not identical to the old. To avoid confusion between Marcus Aurelius and Mark Antony, the obverse legend ANT(ONIVS) AVG(VR) IIIVIR (R(EI) P(VBLICAE) C(ONSTITVENDAE) or Antony, augur, triumvir for restoring the republic was clarified for an imperial audience as ANTONIVS AVGVR III VIR R P C, while the reverse now not only identified the legion but added ANTONINVS ET VERVS AVG(VSTI) REST(ITVIT) or Antoninus and Verus Augustus restored.





Fig. 10. Denarius of Marcus Aurelius, Rome, 164 (RIC III Marcus Aurelius no. 443). Obverse: galley, ANTONIVS AVGVR III VIR R P C. Reverse: eagle between standards, ANTONINVS ET VERVS AVG REST LEG VI (American Numismatic Society, no. 1944.100.49097).

This coin has prompted two recent die studies with conflicting interpretations. M. Beckmann dates it to 161–163 based on its large letters and sees the restoration as designed to demonstrate imperial concern for the condition of deterioration in a group of important objects, the superabundant but now worn Antony legionary *denarii*. M. Baer argues that Beckmanns own criteria of letter size best fits 164 and defends the traditional association of the restoration with Verus victories in the Parthian War, in which *legio VI Ferrata* fought. That numerous emperors had minted coins with the iconography of an eagle between standards after Antony, often to celebrate victories or glorify their soldiers, supports Baers reading.

Understanding the restoration in the terms of the Parthian War also explains why the minter went back to Antony. Antony differed from the other issuers of restored coins, since he was hardly someone Marcus and Lucius would want to be compared to. The point of the comparison might, however, not have been that they were like Antony but that they were better than him, for Verus succeeded in Parthia, where Antony had failed. This Parthian context should not be diluted by following Askew suggestion that a variant with a Victory honoured instead *legio VI Victrix* in Britain. A Victory would hardly have been enough to specify *legio VI Victrix*, and commemorating two legions involved in unrelated conflicts at opposite ends of the empire would have been overly obscure.

Severus drew numerous links between himself and Marcus Aurelius. His hair and beard on his portraits recalled Marcus Aurelius.⁷⁶ He would also soon claim that both he and Marcus had been saved by similar weather miracles before even claiming the long dead Marcus as his adoptive father.⁷⁷ Minting the first legionary coins since Marcus Aurelius and Lucius Verus was another such link. Indeed, Severus was himself planning a war against Parthia, which was on friendly terms with his rival Pescennius Niger. In that, Cooley suggests, Severus was once more taking inspiration from Augustus by using victories against a foreign foe to mitigate the stain of a civil war. 78 To be sure, Marcus Aurelius was not the first thing that would come to the mind of a soldier looking at the legionary coins with which he had just been paid. As Beckmann shows, Marcus restored coinage was not a major part of his overall coin production and is outnumbered in the third-century Shapwick hoard roughly one hundred to one by Antonys originals.79 Still, that hoard does attest to the broad circulation of the restored coins. The connection between them and Severus would also have been especially clear to the Rome mint producing most of Severus legionary coins.

CONCLUSION

Severus legionary coins celebrated his soldiers while engaging in a conversation with previous Roman leaders and coin types. Severus titulature on the coins emphasized his claim to be Pertinaxs avenger, while the iconography of the eagle between standards harkened back to Antony and Marcus Aurelius. The Capricorns tied Severus to Augustus. When Severus soldiers responded to the donative he paid them in Augustanizing legionary coins by citing Augustus back to him, they showed that at least some soldiers understood the implications of these coins and even saw themselves as participants in that conversation.

REFERENCES

ABDY/MINNITT 2002

Abdy, R./Minnitt, S., Shapwick Villa, Somerset. In: Abdy, R./

⁷³ BECKMANN 2017, 140-1, drawing on KOMNICK 2001, 165-78.

ASKEW 1951, 15, followed by BIRLEY 1971, 93; BÖRNER 2012, 206; ROSSI 1965, 77; TÖPFER 2011, 62

 $^{^{75}}$ For further arguments against ASKEW 1951, see BAER 2020, 18 and SÁNCHEZ 2010, 132.

⁷⁶ BAHARAL 1996, 25.

⁷⁷ Miracle: DIO 75.7.6–7; HDN. 3.3.7–8, Cf. HA MARC. 34.4 and Marcus Aurelius' Column. Adoption: DIO 76.7.4; HA SEV. 10.6, 11.4; RIC IV, 1 Severus nos. 65, 686, 700. See BIRLEY 1988, 116–7; COOLEY 2000, 385–87.

⁷⁸ DIO 75.8.3; HDN. 2.8.8, 3.5.1, 3.9.1; COOLEY 2000, 389.

⁷⁹ BECKMANN 2017, 143–4. For the hoard, see ABDIY/MINNITT 2002.

⁶⁸ BIRLEY 1988: 97; LANGFORD 2013: 39–40.

 $^{^{69}}$ HDN. 2.9.8: πάντας τοὺς κατὰ τὸ Ἰλλυρικὸν στρατιώτας μεμνημένους τῆς Περτίνακος ἡγεμονίας. See CÀSSOLA 1965, 477; COOLEY 2007, 390; PLATNAUER 1918, 61n1.

⁷⁰ BECKMANN 2017.

⁷¹ BAER 2020.

⁷² For the history of the iconography between Antony and Marcus Aurelius, see KATZ 2023; ROSSI 1965; TÖPFER 2011, 200–7; VERMEEREN 1991, 71–3.

Leins, I. /Williams, J. (eds.), *Coin Hoards from Roman Britain:* Volume XI (London: Royal Numismatic Society), 169–233.

ANDO 2000

Ando, C., *Imperial Ideology and Provincial Loyalty in the Roman Empire* (Berkeley: University of California Press).

ASKEW 1951

Askew, G., The Coinage of Roman Britain (London: Seaby).

BAER 2020

Baer, M., Erneute Stempelstudie zur Restitutionsprägung der Kaiser Marcus Aurelius und Lucius Verus. In: Fischer-Bossert, W./Schindel, N. (eds.), *Cista Mystica. Festschrift für Wolfgang Szaivert* (Vienna: Österreichische Forschungsgesellschaft für Numismatik), 9–39.

BAHARAL 1996

Baharal, D., The Victory of Propaganda: The Dynastic Aspects of the Severans Imperial Propaganda—The Literary and Archaeological Evidence (193–235 A.D.) (Oxford: British Archaeological Reports).

BARTON 1995

Barton, T., Augustus and Capricorn: Astrological Polyvalency and Imperial Rhetoric. *Journal of Roman Studies* 85, 33–51.

BECKMANN 2017

Beckmann, M., The Restoration of Mark Antonys Legionary Denarii by Marcus Aurelius and Lucius Verus, *Numismatic Chronicle* 177, 135–147.

BICKFORD-SMITH 1994

Bickford-Smith, R. A., The Imperial Mints in the East for Septimius Severus: It Is Time to Begin A Thorough Reconsideration, *Rivista Italiana di Numismatica e Scienze Affini* 96: 53–71.

BIRLEY 1971

Birley, A. R., Legio VI Victrix in Britain. In: Butler, R. M. (ed.), *Soldier and Civilian in Roman Yorkshire. Essays to Commemorate the Nineteenth Centenary of the Foundation of York* (Leicester: Leicester University Press), 97–106.

BIRLEY 1988

Birley, A. R., *The African Emperor: Septimius Severus*, 2nd ed. (London: Batsford).

BIRLEY 1928

Birley, E. B., A Note on the Title "Gemina", *Journal of Roman Studies* 18, 56–60.

BMCRE V

Mattingly, H., *Coins of the roman Empire in the British Museum*, *Vol. 5: Pertinax to Elagabalus* (London: British Museum Publications, 1975).

BÖRNER 2012

Börner, S., Marc Aurel im Spiegel seiner Münzen und Medaillons: eine vergleichende Analyse der stadtrömischen Prägungen zwischen 138 und 180 n. Chr. (Bonn: Dr. Rudolf Habelt).

BRACE 2003

Brace, B. R., The Legionary Coins of Septimius Severus, *Journal of the Classical & Medieval Numismatic Society*, Series 2, Volume 4, 119–126.

CAES BG

C. Iulius Caesar. *Commentarii rereum gestarum I: Bellum Gallicum*, Seel, O. (ed.) (Leipzig: Teubner, 1961).

CAMPBELL 1984

Campbell, J. B., *The Emperor and the Roman Army, 31 BC-AD 235* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).

CARLSON 1971

Carlson, C. W. A., Some Notes on Coin Issues Commemorating Roman Legions, *Journal of the Society of Ancient Numismatics* 3, 51–2.

CÀSSOLA 1965

Càssola, F., Pertinace durante il principato di Commodo, *La Parola del Passato* 20, 451–77.

CHRE

Coin Hoards of the Roman Empire, https://chre.ashmus.ox.ac.uk/. Accessed 6 August 2024.

CNG

Classical Numismatic Group, LLC, https://www.cngcoins.com/. Accessed 10 July 2024.

COOLEY 2007

Cooley, A., Septimius Severus: The Augustan Emperor. In: Swain, S./Harrison, S.J./Elsner, J. (eds.), *Severan Culture* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 385–97.

CRAMER 1954

Cramer, F. H., *Astrology in Roman Law and Politics* (Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society).

DAMATO 2018

DAmato, R., Roman Standards & Standard-Bearers (1) (Oxford: Osprey Publishing).

DAMATO 2020

DAmato, R., Roman Standards & Standard-Bearers (2) (Oxford: Osprey Publishing).

DILLON 2007

Dillon, J. N., Octavians Finances after Actium, before Egypt: The CAESAR DIVI F / IMP CAESAR Coinage and Antonys Legionary Issue. *Chiron* 37, 35–48.

DIO

Dio Cassius, *Roman History*, Cary, E. (ed.), 9 vols. (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1914–1927).

DOMASZEWSKI 1885

Domaszewski, A. von., *Die Fahnen im römischen Heer* (Vienna: C. Gerolds Sohn).

DOMASZEWSKI 1900

Domaszewski, A. von., *Der Truppensold der Kaiserzeit* (Heidelberg: Koester).

EATON 2020

Eaton, J., *Leading the Roman Army: Soldiers and Emperors, 31 BC* – 235 AD (Philadelphia: Pen and Sword).

ELKINS 2018-2019

Elkins, N. T., Coinage Programs and Panegyric in the Reign of Trajan: Imagery, Audience, and Agency. *Memoirs of the American Academy in Rome* 63/64, 269–201.

EPIT. DE CAES.

Abrégé des Césars, Festy, M. (ed.). (Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 1999).

FRANKE 2000

Franke, T., Legio XIV Gemina, In: Le Bohec, Y./Wolff, C. (eds.), Les légions de Rome sous le Haut-Empire: actes du congrès de Lyon, 17–19 septembre 1998 (Paris: De Boccard), 191–202.

GOLDSWORTHY 1996

Goldsworthy, A. K., *The Roman Army at War: 100 BCE—AD 200* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).

HA

Historia Augusta, Rohrbacher, D./Magie, D. (eds.), 3 vols. (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2022).

HASEBROEK 1921

Hasebroek, J., Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des Kaisers Septimius Severus (Heidelberg: C. Winter).

HDN.

Herodian, *History of the Empire*, Whittaker, C. R. (ed.), 2 vols. (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1969–1970).

KATZ 2023

Katz, N. S., Legionary Coins in the Year of the Four Emperors. *Journal of Ancient History and Archaeology* 10, 174–86.

KEPPIE 1994

Keppie, L.. The Making of the Roman Army from Republic to Empire (London: Routledge).

KRAAY 1949

Kraay, C. M. 1949. The Coinage of Vindex and Galba, A.D. 68, and the Continuity of the Augustan Principate, *Numismatic Chronicle* 9, 129–49.

KOMNICK 2001

Komnick, H., 2001. *Die Restitutionsmünzen der frühen Kaiserzeit: Aspekte der Kaiserlegitimation* (Berlin: De Gruyter).

KUBITSCHEK 1914

Kubitschek, M. W., Ein Kriegszahlmeister des Septimus Severus, Numismatische Zeitschrift 47, 191–4.

LANGFORD 2013

Langford, J., *Maternal Megalomania* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press).

LEVITHAN 2013

Levithan, J., Roman Siege Warfare (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press).

MACMULLEN 1984

MacMullen, R., The Legion as a Society, *Historia* 33, 440–56.

MAXFIELD 1981

Maxfield, V. A., *The Military Decorations of the Roman Army* (Berkeley: University of California Press).

MOUCHMOV 1934

Mouchmov, N. A., *Le trésor numismatique de Réka-Devnia* (*Marcianopolis*) (Sofia: Musée national bulgare).

OCRE

Online Coins of the Roman Empire, https://numismatics.org/ocre/. Accessed 10 July 2024.

OKAMURA 1991

Okamura, L., The Flying Columns of Emperor Gallienus: "Legionary" Coins and Their Hoards, In: V. Maxfield, A./ Dobson, M. J. (eds.), Roman Frontier Studies 1989: Proceedings of the XVth International Congress of Roman Frontier Studies (Exeter: University of Exeter Press), 387–91.

OMAN 1918

Oman, C., On the Coins of Severus and Gallienus Commemorating the Roman Legions, *Numismatic Chronicle* 18, 80–96.

PARKER 1971

Parker, H. M. D., *The Roman Legions* (New York: Barnes & Noble). PASEK 2013

Pasek, S., Imperator Caesar Didius Iulianus Augustus: seine Regentschaft und die Usurpationen der Provinzstatthalter (193 n. Chr.) (Munich: AVM).

PASEK 2014

Pasek, S.,Bellum civile inter Principes: Der Bürgerkrieg zwischen Septimius Severus und Pescennius Niger (193/194 n. Chr.) (Munich: AVM).

PINK 1933

Pink, K., Der Aufbau der römischen Münzprägung in der Kaiserzeit, *Numismatische Zeitschrift* 66, 17–54.

PLATNAUER 1918

Platnauer, M., *The Life and Reign of the Emperor Lucius Septimius Severus* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

POTTER 1994

Potter, D. S., *Prophets and Emperors: Human and Divine Authority from Augustus to Theodosius* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press).

RANTALA 2017

Rantala, J., The Ludi Saeculares of Septimius Severus: The Ideologies of a New Roman Empire (London: Routledge).

$RIC I^2$

Sutherland, C. H. V./Carson, R. A., G., The Roman Imperial Coinage I: From 31 BC to AD 69, 2^{nd} ed. (London: Spink, 1984) RIC II

Mattingly, H./Sydenham, E. A., Roman Imperial Coinage, Vol. 2: Vespasian to Hadrian (London: Spink, 1926).

RIC II, 12

Carradice, I./Buttrey, T. V., Roman Imperial Coinage II, 1: From AD 69 to AD 96, Vespasian to Domitian, 2nd ed. (London: Spink, 2007).

RIC II, 32

Abdy, R./Mittag, P., Roman Imperial Coinage II, 3: From AD 117 to AD 138—Hadrian, 2^{nd} ed. (London: Spink, 2020).

RIC III

Mattingly, H./Sydenham, E. A., Roman Imperial Coinage, Vol. 3: Antoninus Pius to Commodus (London: Spink, 1930).

RIC IV.

Mattingly, H./Sydenham, E. A., Roman Imperial Coinage, Vol. 4 Part 1: Pertinax to Geta (London: Spink, 1936).

RIC V. 1

Webb, P. H., Roman Imperial Coinage, Vol. 5 Part 1: Valerian to Florian (London: Spink, 1927).

RITTERLING 1924-1925

Ritterling, E. Legio. In: Kroll, W. (ed.), Paulys Realencyclopädie der classischen Altertumswissenschaft 12 (Stuttgart), 1211–1829.

ROSSI 1965

Rossi, L., Le insegne militari nella monetazione imperiale romana da Augusto a Commodo. *Rivista Italiana di Numismatica e Scienze Affini* 67, 41–81.

ROWAN 2018

Rowan, C., From Caesar to Augustus (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

RRC

Crawford, M. H., Roman Republican Coinage, 2 vols. (London: Cambridge University Press, 1974).

SÁNCHEZ 2010

Sánchez, F. L., Military Units of Mark Antony and Lucius Verus: Numismatic Recognition of Distinction, *Israel Numismatic Research* 5, 123–38.

SCHUMACHER 2003

Schumacher, L., Die politische Stellung des D. Clodius Albinus (193–197 n. Chr.), *Jahrbuch des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums Mainz* 50, 355–69.

SHELAIH 2022

Shelaih, R. M., Capricorn Image on Ancient Roman Coins, *Journal of Association of Arab Universities for Tourism and Hospitality* 22, 1–28.

SUET.

Suetonius, *De vita Caesarum*, Ihm, M. (ed.) (Leipzig: Teubner, 1907).

TÖPFER 2011

Töpfer, K. M., Signa Militaria: Die römischen Feldzeichen in der Republik und im Prinzipat (Mainz: RGZM).

VERMEEREN 1991

Vermeeren, T., Le type « legio » dans le monnayage de Septime Sévère, Revue belge de numismatique et de sigillographie 137, 65–94.

WARDLE 2014

Wardle, D., Suetonius: Life of Augustus (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

WATSON 1969

Watson, G. R., *The Roman Soldier* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press).

WITTWER 1986

Wittwer, K., Kaiser und Heer im Spiegel der Reichsmünzen: Untersuchungen zu den militärpolitischen Prägungen in der zeit von Nerva bis Caracalla (Tübingen: Eberhard-Karls-Universität).

WOYTEK 2007

Woytek, B., ,Die Münzen der römischen Republick und der Übergangszeit zum Prinzipat im Museum Carnuntum (mit einem Exkurs zu den Legionsprägung des Marcus Antonius). In: Alram, M./Schmidt-Dick (eds.), Numismata Carnuntina.